2	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California LINDA SCHNEIDER Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
3	SUSAN FITZGERALD, State Bar No. 112278	•	
4	Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice		
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101		
6	P.O. Box 85266		
7	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2066 Faccinite: (619) 645-2061		
8	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061		
9	Attorneys for Complainant		
10	BEFORE 1		
11			
12	STATE OF CAL	IFORNIA	
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 2007-277	
14	WALTER DAVID EASON		
15	4423 Nisbit Lake Rd Jacksonville, AL 36265	DEFAULT DECISION	
16	Respondent.	AND ORDER	
17		[Gov. Code, §11520]	
18	<u>FINDINGS OF</u>	FACT	
19	1. On or about April 18, 2007, Complainant Ruth Ann Terry, M.P.H., R.N., in her		
20	official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Registered Nursing, Department of		
21	Consumer Affairs, filed Accusation No. 2007-277 against Walter David Eason (Respondent)		
22	before the Board of Registered Nursing.		
23	2. On or about August 27, 2002, the Boa	ard of Registered Nursing (Board) issued	
24	Registered Nurse License No. 605403 to Respondent. The license expired on February 28, 200		
25	and has not been renewed.		
26	3 On or about April 26, 2007, Tess Bautista, an employee of the Department of		
27	Justice, served by Certified and First Class Mail a copy of the Accusation No. 2007-277,		
28	Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Government Code		

sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7 to Respondent's address of record with the Board, which was and is 4423 Nisbit Lake Road, Jacksonville, AL 36265. On or about July 5, 2007, Tess Bautista, an employee of the Department of Justice, re-served by Certified and First Class Mail a copy of the Accusation No. 2007-277, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7 to Respondent at Post Office Box 1185, Jacksonville, AL 36265-5185, which was the new address provided by the U. S. Postal Service. A copy of the Accusation, the related documents, and Declarations of Service are attached as exhibit A, and are incorporated herein by reference. None of the mailings of July 5, 2007 have been returned by the U.S. Postal Service.

- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c).
- 5. On or about May 30, 2007, the aforementioned documents served on April 26, 2007 were returned by the U.S. Postal Service marked "Notify Sender of New Address, Eason, Walter D, PO Box 1185, Jacksonville, AL 36265-5185." A copy of the envelope returned by the post office is attached hereto as exhibit B, and is incorporated herein by reference.
 - 6. Business and Professions Code section 118 states, in pertinent part:
- "(b) The suspension, expiration, or forfeiture by operation of law of a license issued by a board in the department, or its suspension, forfeiture, or cancellation by order of the board or by order of a court of law, or its surrender without the written consent of the board, shall not, during any period in which it may be renewed, restored, reissued, or reinstated, deprive the board of its authority to institute or continue a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee upon any ground provided by law or to enter an order suspending or revoking the license or otherwise taking disciplinary action against the license on any such ground."
- 7. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:

 "Con the respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing."

- 8. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him of the Accusation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 2007-277.
 - 9. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent."
- 10. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on Respondent's express admissions by way of default and the evidence before it, contained in exhibits A, B and C, finds that the allegations in Accusation No. 2007-277 are true.
- 11. The total costs for investigation and enforcement are \$1,299.50 through August 1, 2007.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Walter David Eason has subjected his Registered Nurse License No. 605403 to discipline.
- 2. A copy of the Accusation and the related documents and Declaration of Service are attached.
 - 3. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 4. The Board of Registered Nursing is authorized to revoke Respondent's Registered Nurse License No. 605403 based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation:
 - a. Making false, grossly incorrect and grossly inconsistent entries in patient and/or hospital records, a violation of Code section 2762(e);
 - b. Wrongfully obtaining, possessing, and administering to himself a controlled substance, all violations of Code section 2762(a) in conjunction with Health & Safety Code section 11173;
 - c. Outprofessional conduct for out of state discipline of Respondent's Arizona nursing license, a violation of Code section 2761(a)(4).

5. 1 Respondent is presently a fugitive from justice in California. 2 ORDER 3 IT IS SO ORDERED that Registered Nurse License No. 605403, heretofore issued to 4 Respondent Walter David Eason, is revoked. 5 Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within 6 7 seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute. 8 This Decision shall become effective on NOV-emb-ch 2,2007. 9 It is so ORDERED october 2, 2007 10 11 12 FOR THE BOARD OF REGISTERED NURSING 13 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS 14 Attachments: 15 Exhibit A: Accusation No.2007-277, Related Documents, and Declarations of Service 16 Exhibit B: Copy of Envelope Returned by Post Office Certificate of Costs - Declaration of Susan Fitzgerald Exhibit C: 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

28

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 2007-277,
Related Documents and Declarations of Service

1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California		
2	MARGARET ANN LAFKO		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General SUSAN L. FITZGERALD, State Bar No. 112278		
	Deputy Attorney General		
4	California Department of Justice 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100		
5	San Diego, CA 92101		
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266		
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2064		
8	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061		
9	Attorneys for Complainant		
10			
	BOARD OF REGISTERED NURSING		
11	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
12	STATE OF CAL	AT ORVIA	
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 2007-277	
14	WALTER DAVID EASON	ACCUSATION	
15	4423 Nisbit Lake Road Jacksonville, AL 36265	,	
16	Registered Nurse License No. 605403,		
17	Respondent.		
18	Complainant alleges:		
19	<u>PARTIES</u>		
20	1. Ruth Ann Terry, M.P.H., R.N. ("Com	aplainant") brings this Accusation solely in	
21	her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Registered Nursing, Department of		
22	Consumer Affairs.		
23	2. On or about August 27, 2002, the Boa	ard of Registered Nursing ("Board") issued	
24	Registered Nurse License Number 605403 to Walter David Eason ("Respondent"). The license		
25 respired on February 28, 2006, and has not been renewed.			
- 1	Marie Control of the		
26 27			
28			

JURISDICTION

3. Section 2750 of the Business and Professions Code ("Code") provides:

Every certificate holder or licensee, including licensees holding temporary licenses, or licensees holding licenses placed in an inactive status, may be disciplined as provided in this article [Article 3 of the Nursing Practice Act (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 2700 et seq.)]. As used in this article, "license" includes certificate, registration, or any other authorization to engage in practice regulated by this chapter. The proceedings under this article shall be conducted in accordance with Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code [the Administrative Procedure Act], and the board shall have all the powers granted therein.

4. Code section 2764 provides:

The lapsing or suspension of a license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licentiate shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to proceed with any investigation of or action or disciplinary proceeding against such license, or to render a decision suspending or revoking such license.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

5. Code section 2761 provides, in pertinent part:

The board may take disciplinary action against a certified or licensed nurse or deny an application for a certificate or license for any of the following:

- (a) Unprofessional conduct, which includes, but is not limited to, the following:
- (4) Denial of licensure, revocation, suspension, restriction, or any other disciplinary action against a health care professional license or certificate by another state or territory of the United States, by any other government agency, or by another California health care professional licensing board. A certified copy of the decision or judgment shall be conclusive evidence of that action.
- 6. Code section 2762 provides, in pertinent part:

In addition to other acts constituting unprofessional conduct within the meaning of this chapter [the Nursing Practice Act], it is unprofessional conduct for a person licensed under this chapter to do any of the following:

1	I
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
11 12	
13	
14	
15	
16 17	
18	
19	İ
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

(a) Obtain or possess in violation of law, or prescribe, or except as directed by a licensed physician and surgeon, dentist, or podiatrist administer to himself or herself, or furnish or administer to another, any controlled substance as defined in Division 10 (commencing with Section 11000) of the Health and Safety Code or any dangerous drug or dangerous device as defined in Section 4022.

(b) Use any controlled substance as defined in Division 10 (commencing with Section 11000) of the Health and Safety Code, or any dangerous drug or dangerous device as defined in Section 4022, or alcoholic beverages, to an extent or in a manner dangerous or injurious to himself or herself, any other person, or the public or to the extent that such use impairs his or her ability to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by his or her license.

. . . .

(e) Falsify, or make grossly incorrect, grossly inconsistent, or unintelligible entries in any hospital, patient, or other record pertaining to the substances described in subdivision (a) of this section.

7. Code section 4022 provides:

- (a) Any drug that bears the legend: "Caution: federal law prohibits dispensing without prescription," "Rx only," or words of similar import.
- (b) Any device that bears the statement: "Caution: federal law restricts this device to sale by or on the order of a _____," "Rx only," or words of similar import, the blank to be filled in with the designation of the practitioner licensed to use or order use of the device.
- (c) Any other drug or device that by federal or state law can be lawfully dispensed only on prescription or furnished pursuant to Section 4006.

8. Code section 4060 provides:

No person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7, or furnished pursuant to a drug order issued by a certified nurse-midwife pursuant to Section 2746.51, a nurse practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, a physician assistant pursuant to Section 3502.1, a naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.5, or a pharmacist pursuant to either subparagraph (D) of paragraph (4) of, or clause (iv) of subparagraph (A) of paragraph (5) of, subdivision (a) of Section 4052. This section shall not apply to the possession of any controlled substance by a manufacturer, wholesaler, pharmacy, pharmacist, physician, podiatrist, dentist, optometrist,

///

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(False, Grossly Incorrect, Grossly Inconsistent Record Entries)

- 15. Respondent's license is subject to discipline for unprofessional conduct under Code section 2762, subdivision (e), in that from on or about November 13, 2005, through on or about November 15, 2005, while working at Palo Verde, Respondent made false, grossly incorrect, or grossly inconsistent entries in hospital, patient, or other records pertaining to controlled substances, as follows:
- a. <u>Patient #1</u>. On or about November 13, 2004, at approximately 0004 hours and 0118 hours, without a physician's order to do so, Respondent obtained one 100 mg dose of Demerol each time for administration to patient #1. Respondent failed to account for the 200 mgs of Demerol in any hospital, patient, or other record.
- b. Patient #2. On or about November 13, 2004, at approximately 2009 hours,
 Respondent obtained a 100 mg dose of Demerol for administration to patient #2. Respondent
 failed to account for the 100 mgs of Demerol in any hospital, patient, or other record.
- c. <u>Patient #3</u>. On or about November 13, 2004, at approximately 2110 hours, without a physician's order to do so, Respondent obtained a 100 mg dose of Demerol for administration to patient #1. Respondent failed to account for the 100 mgs of Demerol in any hospital, patient, or other record.
- d. <u>Patient #4</u>. On or about November 13, 2004, at approximately 2104 hours, without a physician's order to do so, Respondent obtained a 100 mg dose of Demerol for administration to patient #4. Respondent failed to account for the 100 mgs of Demerol in any hospital, patient, or other record.
- e. <u>Patient #5</u>. On or about November 13, 2004, at approximately 2123 hours, without a physician's order to do so, Respondent obtained a 100 mg dose of Demerol for administration to patient #5. Respondent failed to account for the 100 mgs of Demerol in any hospital, patient, or other record.
- f. Patient #6. On or about November 14, 2004, between approximately 0520 hours and 0529 hours, without a physician's order to do so, Respondent obtained a 100 mg dose of

Demerol for administration to patient #6. Respondent failed to account for the 100 mgs of Demerol in any hospital, patient, or other record.

- g. Patient #7. On or about November 15, 2004, between approximately 0500 hours and 0559 hours, without a physician's order to do so, Respondent obtained a 100 mg dose of Demerol for administration to patient #7. Respondent failed to account for the 100 mgs of Demerol in any hospital, patient, or other record.
- h. Patient #8. On or about November 15, 2004, between approximately 0600 hours and 0700 hours, without a physician's order to do so, Respondent obtained a 100 mg dose of Demerol for administration to patient #8. Respondent failed to account for the 100 mgs of Demerol in any hospital, patient, or other record.
- i. <u>Patient #9</u>. On or about November 15, 2004, at approximately 2220 hours, without a physician's order to do so, Respondent obtained a 100 mg dose of Demerol for administration to patient #9. Respondent failed to account for the 100 mgs of Demerol in any hospital, patient, or other record.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Wrongfully Obtaining, Possessing, and Self-Administering Controlled Substances and Dangerous Drugs)

- 16. Respondent's license is subject to discipline for unprofessional conduct under Code section 2762, subdivision (a), in that Respondent did the following:
- a. Wrongfully Obtaining a Controlled Substance and Dangerous Drug. As set forth under paragraph 15 above, from on or about November 13, 2004, through on or about November 15, 2004, Respondent obtained Demerol by fraud, deceit, misrepresentation, or subterfuge, or by the concealment of material facts, in violation of Health and Safety code section 11173, subdivision (a).
- b. Wrongfully Possessing Controlled Substances and Dangerous Drugs. As set forth under paragraph 15 above, while working at Palo Verde, from on or about November 13, 2004, through on or about November 15, 2004, Respondent possessed Demerol without the

///